

1. Introduction

Earthquake Engineering is transitioning from ductile buildings with large foundations to rocking systems which essentially behave as floating vessels. This transition enables improved seismic performance and minimizes costs of repairing and disruption.

Centrifuge testing and computational modelling of buildings rocking on loose sand

¹Department of Engineering, University of Cambridge, UK, {ip312, mspg1}@cam.ac.uk ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, UC Berkeley, dejong@berkeley.edu



3. Structural rocking response

During shaking, impacts developed at the footing-column interface. They generated a strong rotational acceleration, causing excessive storey acceleration and force demand.

5. Rocking response with OpenSees

Inclined friction/gap elements were used for the contact interface in structural rocking. They were connected on a beam on non-linear Winkler foundation (BNWF, [2]). The BNWF is also used to simulate the uplift response of the footing directly for foundation rocking. In this case, more work is needed to capture the post-rocking response accurately.



Iason Pelekis¹, Gopal S. P. Madabhushi¹, and Matthew J. DeJong²

Two flexible building models, inspired by Housner's rocking rigid block [1] with discrete footings were designed for structural & foundation rocking. They represent 4-storey buildings with a period of 0.7s. Their excitation was a low frequency cyclic acceleration.



2. Experimental details



Foundation rocking: Lat acc@storeys Bottom -0.9 Foundation rocking: Vert acc@columns Example impact 1.2 (g) -0.3 oty -0.6 Prot -0.9 1.2 Prototype scale time (s)

> 4. Foundation rocking response As the footing rotated on the soil, damping was activated leading to suppression of rocking. Marginally lower storey

accelerations occurred after that.

6. Conclusion

In structural rocking, soil cannot influence significantly the a building. Consequently, the total external force in