

PEER International Pacific Rim Forum June 16-17, 2021

Integration of 3D Large-Scale Earthquake Simulations into The Assessment of The Seismic Risk of Bogota, Colombia

Doriam Restrepo Andrea Riaño, Jacobo Bielak, Luis Yamin, Ricardo Taborda, Juan C Reyes

Universidad EAFIT, Carnegie Mellon University, Universidad de los Andes



Objective

Integrate results of deterministic scenarios into the estimation of the seismic risk of areas where no GMPE information exists.

This objective is accomplished by:

- Conducting physics-based computer simulations.
- Approximating the soil nonlinear response.
- Developing a software package to integrate the seismic hazard from deterministic earthquake scenarios into the evaluation of the seismic risk.



Case study: Bogotá, Colombia





Case study: Bogotá, Colombia



PEER

Case study: Bogotá, Colombia



Microzonation study, Alcaldía Mayor de Bogotá (2010)



Hercules (Tu *et al.* 2006), the wave propagation octree-based finite element simulator developed by the Quake Group at Carnegie Mellon University. Hercules incorporates the surface topography by using a *Virtual Topography* scheme (Restrepo & Bielak 2014).

Simulation pipeline



Input models

- Material model
- Topography model
- Source model

Tu, T., Yu, H., Ramirez-Guzman, L., Bielak, J., Ghattas, O., Ma, K. L., & O'hallaron, D. R. (2006).



Earthquake scenarios

 The main source of seismic hazard comes from Frontal fault system which runs along the eastern range of the Colombian Andes



- 2008 Quetame Earthquake for validation (Mw 5.9)
- Two hypothetical strong motion scenarios (Mw 7.2)



Material model



Digital elevation model



PEER

• USGS 1 arcsec (30x30 m resolution)

Source model: Quetame earthquake (2008)



Source model: Strong motion scenario (Mw 7.2 earthquakes)

Model	Strike, °	Dip, °	Rake, °	Sub faults
SM1	212	72	135	165, 12315
SM2	212	30	135	12174



Rise time distribution







Quetame earthquake (2008) simulation results



Parameters	SQD
f _{max} , Hz	4
Vs _{min} , m/s	200
Topography	no
Points per wavelength (ppw)	10
min. elem. Size, m	5
Num. of elements	4,849,240,535
Num. of nodes	5,006,124,380
Time step Dt, s	0.0008
Sim. Time, s	80
Num. of cores	19200
Cores usage time	6 hr, 12 min



Parameters	VT
f _{max} , Hz	4
<i>Vs_{min}</i> , m/s	200
Topography	yes
Points per wavelength (ppw)	10
min. elem. Size, m	5
Num. of elements	5,587,807,945
Num. of nodes	5,864,234,055
Time step Dt, s	0.0004
Sim. Time, s	80
Num. of cores	28800
Cores usage time	9 hr, 50 min



100 km

0.1 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.02

time = 0.000 s



FUNSAMP uses the characterization of each geotechnical zone in terms of a mean representative profile and its corresponding standard deviation proposed by Prada et al. (2018).

Zone 18



Nonlinear Approximation Equivalent linear analysis







Nonlinear Approximation Equivalent linear analysis



Validation. 2008 Quetame earthquake

Alluvial zone



2.5

15

PEER

Validation. 2008 Quetame earthquake

Lacustrine zone



Validation. 2008 Quetame earthquake

Suba Hills zone



Seismic risk assessment





Predominant material





Number assets



Number of stories



19 PEER

Vulnerability curves



Concrete frames with partition walls are the typology most used in concrete structures, followed by unreinforced masonry walls in masonry structures

20

PEER

This work uses a total of 35 vulnerability functions developed in previous research projects i.e., Yamin et al., (2014); and World Bank and Universidad de los Andes, Technical report (2018)



Software applications to determine probabilistic risk calculations based on hazard, exposure and physical vulnerability data. The CAPRA platform has been used in numerous projects as a modeling and analysis tool of different types of natural hazards, exposure of a study zone, vulnerability of a given portfolio, and risk assessment, among others.

We employed the GMPEs proposed by Bindi et al., (2014) to compute the PSRA

Seismic Hazard in terms of maximum acceleration in the rock basement (no local subsoil response). (e.g., Bindi et al., 2014)



Characterization of the dynamic response of the city's soils to obtain the representation of the hazard intensity, at the surface level, for different stochastic scenarios.





Seismic risk assessment. Quetame earthquake



22 PEER

Seismic risk assessment. Quetame earthquake

Simulation	Relative Loss, %
1526sp VT	0.01
1526sp VT + 1DEQL	0.05
CAPRA	0.12



- Concrete structures affected by the 1D EQL case have higher exposed values (Larger economic loss for a lower number of concrete buildings)
- In the lacustrine zone the 1D EQL approximation lead to higher damage ratios for structures with longer periods





Seismic risk assessment. Quetame earthquake



Response spectra comparison between GMPE (Bindi et al., 2014) and the 15126sp VT simulation (M_w 5.9) at 4 selected stations. The grey area

depicts $\pm \sigma$ and the black line represent the mean value of the GMPE. The red continuous line corresponds to the response spectra calculated from the results of the 3D simulation at the station location. The dash red line corresponds to the data registered at the RAB-SGC stations. Finally, the blue line represents the response spectra obtained with the 1D EQL approximation. Results displayed are computed at the surface level.

24

PEER

Seismic risk assessment. Strong motion scenario



Seismic risk assessment. Strong motion scenario



Conclusions and Future Work

- Results from physics-based earthquake ground motion simulations were employed as seismic hazard inputs for evaluating the earthquake loss of the city of Bogota with promising results.
- The simulations included the realistic 3D velocity structure, topography, and a proxy for soil nonlinearity. These factors provided a much better and accurate representation of the seismic hazard throughout the city.
- The reliability of the simulation framework was validated with recordings from the 2008 Quetame Earthquake were good agreement between observed data and synthetics was found.



Conclusions and Future Work

- Lower losses reported by 3D analysis. CAPRA over-predicted the losses as only minor damages were reported on a single building for the Quetame earthquake.
- Difference can be associated with the large mean and standard variation values reported Bindi et al in the zone of low periods, which are the predominant structures in Bogota. More GMPEs will be included in future work
- The effect of the source specification must be studied in detail. As well more rupture scenarios



Thanks !

